

bles, netting Mr. Anderson about \$40 per month, and then he has the one hundred acres of tillable land."

Mr. Anderson denies that he has such a nice thing, says he pays \$300 a year rent and intimates that he does not get more than \$240 by sub-letting the mansion. That will dispose of the neighborhood gossip, but it does not alter the fact that for three years there has been nothing done toward developing one of the historic spots of the Revolution.

Evidently the Conservation and Development Commission is not responsive to the will of the Legislature, which ordained that the land "shall be kept and maintained as a public park, and shall be known as 'Washington Crossing Park,' and that the commission hereby appointed shall have the power to fence, grade and improve said lands." Mercer County's delegation in the next Legislature should see that the public park is kept and maintained.

WASHINGTON PARK.

A correspondent last week asserted that the Times was incorrect in saying that the land purchased by the state for a park at Washington's Crossing had been "rented out for agricultural purposes at a nominal rental." The Conservation and Development Board has done nothing toward improving the tract but it has been interested in acquiring a tract of 10,000 acres in Sussex County for the benefit of hunters, fishermen and cottagers.

"The residents of the Crossing understand," wrote the correspondent, "that Mr. George Anderson is not required to pay the state rent for the farm, but simply to keep the property in 'good repair.' The mansion house is sub-let to three or four fam-